



Cover Page



A STUDY ON THE IMPACT OF INDUSTRIAL DISPLACEMENT ON PEOPLE IN ODISHA

Sabyasachi Behera

PhD Scholar, Department of Law
Sambalpur University
Sambalpur, Odisha, India

Abstract

Distinct segments of society respond differently to displacement brought on by development, and it also has a different influence on people's lives. Development initiatives supporting health, education, and income might be seen as participatory if they do not leave most of their people in a poorer situation. However, the bulk of development projects work in the exact opposite way, leaving the majority of project-affected households to fend for themselves with shoddy rehabilitation programmes that are unsuitable, poorly implemented, and poorly planned. Due to rising land demand brought on by trade liberalisation and globalisation, displaced people have been evicted from their homes and forced to give up their possessions and means of subsistence, adding to their misery. The aim of this work is to study the impact of industrial displacement on the people in Odisha.

Keywords: Displacement, Relocation, People, Industrial, Development.

Introduction

Modern society often experiences upheaval and animosity as a result of development. For the sake of progress and prosperity, individuals often have to abandon their own homeland. That's right; you might describe it as a movement of necessity. Specifically, this phenomenon is linked to the development of infrastructure (such as airports, factories, cities, dams, mines, etc.).

Displacement is seen as inevitable when developing "greater good" enterprises and infrastructure, including dams, mines, highways, and power plants. There is no political neutrality when it comes to displacements. As a result of competing worldviews, this issue arises. On the one hand, there is the value system of traditional cultures, where ties to the land and to one's community take precedence over monetary gain. On the other is the ideology of industrialization as development, which prioritises the needs of the market and short-term financial gain. Some people believe the state has always looked out for the best interests of big business. The modern state has been a tool of massive industrialization that has disconnected people from their own resources. Large-scale projects in Odisha, including factories, mines, dams, and even wildlife sanctuaries, are the main cause of population displacement there. Off-Shore Economic Zones and Military Bases In our relentless pursuit of ever-greater quantities and rates of progress, we often fail to remember those who are left behind and made to bear the brunt of our haste. Liberalization, privatisation, and globalisation policies have all contributed to the current wave of relocation. Not a recent occurrence, globalisation can be traced back to imperialism and the quest for greater markets and basic products by western nations. It has been noted that relocation is seldom a choice but rather an obligatory event. Population displacement—whether voluntary or involuntary has been an integral aspect of progress, just like it was during the era of powerfully migrating races of civilization. Once again, the strong migrant races of civilization have inhabited the lush lowlands, forcing the weaker indigenous peoples to the mountains, where the soil is poorer and the climate is harsher. In the early days of human civilization, tribes were the primary victims of forcible relocation, and this phenomenon was seen all throughout the planet.

Displacement

When people are displaced, they are uprooted from their long-term home, farmland, water supply, livestock, social and cultural networks, and way of life. The inhabitants are uprooted from their traditional habitats, complete with arable land, natural resources, water, animal resources, and a thriving social order.

When a community grows, it sometimes necessitates moving to new locations. Development is necessary since people's demands on society are always on the rise. In the past, growth seldom resulted in significant population shifts. Fewer individuals were uprooted than usual. There were a select few who were able to reintegrate into society after having their property taken away for the building of roads, schools, hospitals, canals, and other developmental works.

Huge irrigation and hydroelectric facilities, as well as industrial and mining complexes, are now under construction. As a result, the scale of movement has shifted. It's believed that megaprojects would force the relocation of hundreds of communities over enormous areas. There is no turning back for the uprooted population. Basically, everyone in town is affected. It forces out people of all educational levels, physical abilities, socioeconomic backgrounds, and states of health. It threatens the means by which people of all ages and



Cover Page



socioeconomic backgrounds make a living. Researchers found that evacuees often end up economically and socially worse off as a result of their experience of relocation. Nothing, it is claimed, is more upsetting than being asked to transfer over to a profession that the family has never exercised before, and nothing is more traumatic than being uprooted from a location where it has lived for generations and moved to a place where it may be a total stranger. Around 7 lakh people have been relocated, another 15 lakhs have lost their productive land without being physically moved, and over 10 lakhs have been negatively impacted without losing either their house or land (indirectly affected) in Odisha, according to conservative estimates.

Displacement, ecological shifts, and environmental deterioration have followed every stage of development, from industrial to that of emerging nations. Displacement rife with hardship and deprivation has resulted from large-scale infrastructure projects like the construction of hydropower dams, irrigation systems, and drinking water systems; the extension of the highway network; and the building of hotels, resorts, airports, ports, transmission lines, towns, sewerage systems, subways, and intracity roads. All development projects need significant tracts of land, forcing people out of their homes who usually live in the country's outlying regions and are among its poorest and most disadvantaged citizens.

By definition, involuntary resettlement entails the displacement of individuals without their consent. In its broadest meaning, forced relocation encompasses the loss of all pre- and post-move community links, including linkages to natural resources.

As a rule, large-scale development projects that force people to relocate create significant social, economic, ecological, and environmental issues. The family unit crumbles, and the ties that had been held together by generations of history and blood are broken. When families are broken up, it weakens the social fabric of a community and lessens the likelihood that its members will be able to aid one another. A devastating blow is dealt to the people's morals, ethics, outlook, and traditions. In addition to altering the character of religious devotion, this destroys the family as a productive structure. Threats to sacred sites, ancestor cemeteries, foreboding mountains, trees, and gods all undermine people's sense of safety in their own lands. It's often connected with emotional strain, the end effect of which is physical illness, emotional loss, and sometimes even death. Cultural identity has had its foundations uprooted. Because of the new environment that individuals are forced to adapt to, their whole social and cultural life cycle is altered. Disrupted families, parents who abandon their children, money fights, increased alcohol and drug use, gambling, theft, envy, and other such behaviours are the most obvious fallout from relocation.

The economic costs to those who lose their land and homes are substantial; development often necessitates moving people to new areas where they may find their old skills and knowledge less relevant and where there is more competition for limited resources. This can cause disruptions to their production system and the loss of assets and income. Adjustment issues and a general sense of isolation can play a role. People who are unable to adapt to their new environments may relocate to other countries in search of economic opportunities. One typical complaint is that compensation funds were frittered away on things like drinking and gambling rather than meeting social, cultural, and religious commitments. Most people in rural areas equate farmland with their sense of food safety. However, cultivable land is not always readily accessible after a relocation. The compensation sum is so pitifully little that it cannot even be used to purchase a comparable piece of land to replace the one lost. So, those who have been forcibly relocated often end up living a "hand-to-mouth" life due to the long-term difficulties, poverty, and environmental harm they experience.

Involuntary relocation may lead to feelings of jealousy, inferiority, imitation, shame, and dissatisfaction in a person's psychological development. The unfortunate residents are uprooted from their long-held residence. Buildings, lighting, water, sewage, infrastructure, and a thriving marketplace are all under the project's watchful eye. On the other side, those who have been uprooted from their homes are struggling to make ends meet due to a lack of basic services such as power, running water, adequate sanitation, and medical care, all of which contribute to a climate of anger and resentment against project personnel. The displaced develop an inferiority complex and a "reference group" as a result of being exposed to the bright lights of the township and the lavish lifestyles of the project's leaders. To try to replicate it, they need a considerable quantity of money, and in their haste, they turn to debt and criminal activity like gambling, theft, and even robbery.

The health of those who are uprooted against their will suffers as a direct result. The outcome is a rise in the prevalence of infectious illnesses, dietary stress, and a generalised sense of impending doom. Although the physiological and psychological impacts of environmental change are obvious, cultural changes also have an impact on people's health. Illness, mental illness, and accidents are often classified according to cultural norms in traditional countries. Many times, the doctor may recommend a mixture of spiritual rites and a home-brewed medical potion as part of the treatment plan. Displacement removes people from their native environment, cutting



Cover Page



them off from their traditional sources of food, medicine, and spiritual inspiration. It's natural to worry that this will lead to a rise in disease and a dearth of effective treatment options.

The effects of relocation and rehabilitation on the affected population have not been given significant attention from the outset of any developmental project to the present. As a low-priority by product of large-scale infrastructure projects, it has typically been dealt with in a haphazard, ad hoc fashion. Negative effects on the displaced, the host community at relocation locations, the environment, and most crucially, the construction project itself, emerge from a lack of defined goals, consistent processes, and enough resources for tackling resettlement. The issues with rehabilitation may be traced back to insufficient institutional capacity, a lack of participatory methods, and the absence of systems to track the status of implementation.

Impact of Displacement

Economic, social, cultural, political, psychological, and environmental factors all contribute to the fallout of relocation. The economic consequences of development-induced migration have been seen. Displaced individuals are struggling with issues including homelessness and a disorienting shift in their land ownership pattern. As a result, the primary grievance against compulsory land acquisition is the forcible taking of agricultural property without adequate compensation. The forced relocation carries with it a number of possible problems, including:

- **Deforestation; destruction of land:** One of the most significant kinds of dispossession caused by rural relocation is the loss of land and the modification of land-holding patterns. If land is expropriated, people will lose access to the primary resource upon which their economies, means of production, and way of life are based. That is to say, when people in Odisha lose their farmland or homestead, they also lose the means by which they provide for themselves and their families. Loss of land leads to poverty if people don't find other ways to make a living or find jobs that provide a consistent income. The threat of homelessness is felt differently by many different sorts of individuals, social groupings, and communities. The majority of land purchased by the proper government or government agencies is farmland. Because of this, the people may lose their land and their only source of income as a result of the forced relocation.
- **Homelessness:** For others, the loss of a safe place to live is just temporary; they may soon be able to relocate. However, this is not the case for everyone who has been impacted, and many people have been left homeless for an extended length of time. Being evicted from one's home is akin to being economically dispossessed for the same reasons as being homeless. Most displaced people will eventually be able to return to their homes, but the period during which they are homeless may be extremely lengthy and terrible.
- **Loss of Employment:** Native people no longer provide the same level of service in business or elsewhere. However, the native people who would benefit from the construction of the sector may find new employment to compensate for the lost ones. It's true that fostering economic growth is a primary motivation for SEZ implementation in India.
- **Abandonment of Common Lands:** Lost in addition to the land itself are any common areas, such as wells or gazing grounds, that were part of the parcel being purchased. Food insecurity, moralization, children missing out on school, etc. are all hazards that come hand in hand with relocation.
- **Joblessness and financial ruin:** As a result of losing their homes, businesses, and other means of support, displaced people often fall into a state of poverty. As a result of this marginalisation, people are losing their land, their jobs, and their homes. It's proof that people have slid backwards because of the destruction of their homes, farms, and other sources of income. It happens when households are unable to totally recover their financial standing. As a result of this transformation, middle-class farming families retain their land and become small landholders rather than losing it. Even if they don't lose their homes, families that were just surviving above the poverty line often relapse into poverty and never fully recover. Agricultural households may find their farms economically unsustainable if they lose some but not all of their land to development projects like highways or canals. Although they may be granted some land, high-productivity farmers on rich soil may nonetheless be in danger of economic insolvency.
- **Absence of food security:** The disappearance of arable land, sources of income, and markets for foods other than lumber all contribute to rising food insecurity. Because of relocation, food production drops and harvests are often lost, particularly during the transition from the old to the new location. Rebuilding the ability to produce food at the new location might take a significant amount of time. Food production, food availability, and food consumption patterns are all impacted by these losses. Because of this, people are unable to ensure their basic nutritional needs are met, leading to food insecurity. The pre-monsoon season is a time of year when food insecurity is at its worst because many people who are homeless have limited access to food, and since it is also when they are most likely to be relocated, Children and women, in particular, may suffer from diminished nutrition as a result of displacement-related changes to the family's food habits.



Cover Page



- **Social Disorganisation:** The process of relocation may cause social disarray due to the nature of the changes it ushers in. These factors cause substantial shifts in the social composition of groups as a whole and of tribal cultures in particular. It might cause problems in their home life. There is a risk that the established systems of social control may be eroded or possibly dismantled. Therefore, societal tensions may rise. Further, there are widespread repercussions when people's social support systems break down. Individuals in low-income communities typically turn to their social networks for support in the form of informal loans, bartering of food, clothes, and durables, and shared child care, agricultural, construction, and housekeeping duties. The loss of these multifunctional, almost invisible social networks due to relocation is a hidden but significant contributor to poverty as a result of relocation.
- **Loss of self confidence:** Individuals and communities displaced by a development project can suffer a loss of pride when faced with progress and modernization. When a town loses its sense of pride and honour, it may suffer serious economic and social setbacks.
- **Culture and religious erosion:** The uprooted are in danger of losing their cultural and religious traditions as a result of their relocation. Their basic identity is threatened as they lose their cultural independence. So, their religion, marital rituals, and even their language are under siege. The religious ethos that was once intrinsically tied to their natural setting and agricultural practises is slowly disintegrating. A great deal of significance has been lost in the community celebrations.
- **Loss of Political Structures:** Dispossession in the political realm occurs via the process of political absorption into the so-called national polity. As a result, established political structures and processes become obsolete. People who have been uprooted are now citizens of the state and must assimilate into the political establishment.
- **Psychological Impact:** Displacement and relocation are associated with unique cultural, economic, and technological challenges, according to the available literature. As research has shown, persons who are uprooted often develop feelings of isolation, helplessness, and impotence. Individuals' initiative and ability for collective action are dampened, and social cohesiveness is impaired as a result of elevated levels of stress. Social isolation and mental illness are some possible outcomes of forced relocation.
- **Physical Condition Deterioration:** The community's health, a sensitive measure of progress, suffers when people are uprooted. It has also been discovered that people who are relocated against their will are more likely to contract a disease and develop a serious illness. When projects do not include preventative epidemiological measures, relocation has negative consequences for health. Illnesses of poor hygiene, such as diarrhoea and dysentery, and epidemics of parasitic and vector-borne diseases, such as malaria and schistosomiasis, are only some of the direct and indirect repercussions of forcible dislocation in the absence of preventative health measures.

Effects of Displacement on People in Odisha

While it is wealthy in natural resources, the state of Odisha is otherwise quite impoverished. As a result, the state must industrialise in order to eliminate poverty and provide opportunities for unskilled workers to find gainful employment. Industrialization in today's period of liberalisation, privatisation, and globalisation is largely focused on making use of the state's abundant natural resources. Many companies in the mineral processing industry are flocking to mineral-rich Odisha. Many people and things are harmed by these industries. There isn't much potential for new jobs to be created. As a result, natural resources are depleted and pollution levels rise. Industrialization, which includes mining operations, is widely regarded as a crucial growth strategy on a global scale. Under the watchful eye of the public sector, which rose to "commanding heights" after India's independence, the country began an industrialization programme. In a system known as a "mixed economy," the private sector was not far behind the government either.

Four decades have passed, and there has been a change in focus due to liberalisation, privatisation, and globalization. Despite the growing importance of the private sector and foreign investors relative to the governmental sector, the tactics remain the same. To directly implement the industrialization plan, it is up to the public and private sector giants of industry. Despite the shift, the state remains the enterprise's driving force and protector within a system of competitive politics and a democratic framework. It's clear that a new pattern is emerging in the development process over most of the nation, and it represents a significant risk to the ongoing industrialization. It causes massive destruction, the early shutdown of factories, and a great deal of social stress and political unrest, all of which contribute to political instability. The state, eager to capitalise on the new economic changes, has begun the industrialization process, but the locals are fighting it and have a lot of worries about how it will affect them. Forces released during this procedure may provide insurmountable obstacles to industrialization, resulting in the eventual shutdown of certain facilities. The plan is scrutinised in depth, leaving the state in a difficult position. Nearly 70% of Odisha's labour force is directly or indirectly tied to the agricultural sector. With a total population of 9.78 million residents, the state's scheduled castes (SC) and scheduled tribes (ST) account for roughly 40% of the population, with the other backward castes (OBC) making up the remaining 20%. Mineral resources abound throughout the state. One third of the country's entire iron-ore resources are located there, totalling 5,428 million metric tonnes. The state is home to over



Cover Page



one-fourth of the country's coal reserves, as well as 98–99 percent of the world's chromite and 63 percent of the world's bauxite. In addition, there is a big port at Paradip and two projected ports at Dhamra and Gopalpur, as well as 480 kilometres of coastline. The government has just begun a huge industrialization drive to spur economic growth. With a total expenditure of Rs 1,60,000,000,000, the state government signed 43 MOUs with different corporate groups in 2005 to establish industrial units. There are still sections of the state inhabited by tribal and lower-class people; they have been pinpointed as industrially least developed, and residents there are advocating for the establishment of new businesses. 6 According to some estimates, there are as many as 92 factories in the country making sponge iron, and they are all clustered in just six different neighbourhoods. Forty-three private enterprises have shown an interest in beginning steel mining and manufacturing. The MOU has been signed by at least eight of them, with further action on their part expected.

The construction of river dams, mining operations, and industrial projects are the primary causes of displacement in Odisha.

The government of India has funded many river dam projects due to the benefits of dams in preventing flooding, producing hydroelectric power, irrigating land, and facilitating the movement of goods and people. The contemporary industrial and urban civilization is characterised by building enormous multifunctional dams on great rivers to establish an infrastructure basis for fast economic expansion. Since the beginning of India's first five-year plan, the government has spent billions of rupees developing multifunctional dams to improve the country's agricultural economy and increase hydroelectricity.

Large and medium-sized industrial construction projects aim to reliably provide irrigation water for agricultural economic growth, hydroelectric power generation, and public water supply. Despite the many benefits brought about by these projects, many people are forced to relocate, and the ecology suffers as a result of the loss of forest and farmland to rising waters.

Over 3.80 lakh people in Odisha have been forced to relocate due to the construction of 70 large and minor dams.

Mining not only separates indigenous people from their natural environment, but it also introduces them to a new way of life that is unfamiliar, complex, and competitive. They have a very hard time adapting to the radical shift. It upends their fundamental cultural beliefs and introduces them to the norms of a vast and alien world. However, while developing the rehabilitation and resettlement package, firms seldom take into account these seemingly intangible issues. They are prepared to pay a premium for a piece of land or building that meets their needs. This is why locals in India usually have strong feelings about mining ventures.

To make ends meet, some of them have uprooted and moved away from their families. Those who have remained in and around mining communities have fallen into abject poverty.

From 1950 to 2000, Odisha undertook many large-scale projects, including the Rourkela Steel Plant, NALCO (Angul), NALCO (Damanjodi), the Ordinance Plant (Saintala), and HAL (Sunabeda), which together displaced 13,049 people over 143 villages. 950 households have been uprooted due to the Kalinga Nagar industrial complex, which includes MESCO, Neelachal Steel, Jindal Stainless Steel, Rohit Fero Alloys, Visha Steel, and Tata Steel. While fast economic expansion makes industrialization a necessity, this progress should not come at the expense of forcibly uprooting people from their homes and communities. The social and cultural identities of the uprooted are likewise shattered by such upheaval. These communities' socioeconomic statuses should be given top consideration from the earliest stages of project design.

Semi-voluntary, via the exploitative purchase of land by non-tribal entities, and willingly, through selling their own property, land acquisition by the state government has also contributed to the displacement of tribes.

People were relocated throughout the time of the emperors as well, when their projects required them to move communities.

When most countries in the developing world finally gained independence in the middle of the twentieth century, they had no choice but to try to usher in an industrial revolution by harnessing their rivers in order to irrigate crops and feed their rapidly expanding populations. This, of course, caused a lot of people to move as the countries built new roads, railroads, ports, power plants, industries, dams, and so on.

The future of the state's industrialization depends on a thorough investigation of the local people's opposition activities against specific industrial units. Although it is not unusual for those who have been negatively impacted by industrialization to voice their



Cover Page



displeasure in the state, the current movements are unique in that they have forged an unprecedented unity among the tribal and backward masses against the industrial establishments and against the state government. There has been a stalemate in the fight. It now seems like we've gone too far with this answer.

Influence of Displacement on people in Odisha

- The Land acquisition act is not precisely defined to ensure that human needs are met. It simply establishes that the landowners will get payment. However, they depended on public resources, including forests, rivers, common land, ponds, grazing fields, and quarries. There was no possibility of compensation or a substitute for such shared assets for a very long time after people were displaced.
- The compensation for landowners was inadequate, incomplete, and often not given at all. The owners of the property will not be able to use these monies for redevelopment. Sixty percent of the land the NALCO facility in Damanjodi bought belonged to the tribe and included common property resources essential to the tribe's survival. They have compensated an average of Rs. 2700 per acre for the little private land they had, compared to Rs. 25,000 per acre in Angul for another NALCO facility.
- There is an overall lack of patriotism. Families who had been uprooted once often found themselves uprooted again and again for new construction projects. These people are the ones who ultimately foot the bill for national development.
- In the event of any mineral-based initiatives, it is the tribal people who would suffer the most from relocation, despite the fact that minerals are most readily accessible in tribal regions.
- When people are uprooted, they often find themselves in a position of poverty and isolation. As a result of being uprooted from their homes, many people face eight different forms of marginalisation, including but not limited to: homelessness, joblessness, hunger, lack of education, and so on. On the whole, projects tend to contract out expert labour to other parties. Those who have been uprooted are provided with low-paying, low-skill positions. There would have been plenty of opportunities for them to get into skilled professions if they had received the training they needed.
- It is not always easy to correctly predict how much land will be needed for a project. The government reflexively accepts the request of the project authority without first determining the precise need for land. Therefore, more land is purchased than is necessary. However, even if there is less fertile and bare ground available in the vicinity, it is not uncommon for the project to be allocated fertile land.
- The government makes decisions on projects and their locations without consulting the individuals who will be affected by them. Suddenly, people started getting their information from the media. We are talking about psychological harassment here.
- Those who have been uprooted from their homes suffer from economic, cultural, social, political, and psychological deprivation. When forest-dwelling tribes are forced out of their homes, they lose access to the forest that provides their only means of subsistence.
- Despite the fact that relocation causes joblessness, and homelessness, affects the sentiment and social attitude of women and children, causes children to stop attending school and become child labor, and causes men to reduce joblessness by gossiping and drinking, these factors do not prevent people from being displaced. For example, mothers may have to resort to selling their bodies to support their families. The rates of spousal violence, hunger, and TB all rise as a result. This leads to a rise in morbidity and moral decay among the relocating population, as well as physical and mental disorders.
- After independence, when developmental initiatives were launched to improve people's standard of living, no one had a firm plan in place for relocating them. Specifically, the government directive in question governed the legality of land purchases. It was supposed to go towards compensating landowners for their losses. No one in charge of the project or in government seemed to care about the displaced people's feelings. Currently, there is a proliferation of social groups that advocate on behalf of the displaced before policymakers and project managers. As a result of these concerns, policies in this area are becoming increasingly focused on the well-being of the individuals affected by them. Although well-intentioned, the aspirations of policymakers are often frustrated by the irrationality and corruption of those charged with carrying out the policy in question.
- Exposure to increased risk of prostitution and child labour as a result of relocation They're the ones who take the most damage. When the breadwinner of the household receives monetary compensation, he is free to spend it however he sees fit. The loss of access to forest-based income sources was devastating, but they were left with few other options. As a result, women are occasionally forced into prostitution, and children are often forced into working as child labourers to help provide for the family.
- Marital complications: the groom's family demands a high rate at the time of marriage, assuming that the displaced families will have enough money to pay for dowry, but in reality, the compensation amount has been spent on drinking and fun by the head of the family.
- Because women no longer contribute financially to the household, they are often sexually harassed and objectified. That's why they're targets of sexual harassment.



Cover Page



- Blasting is a dangerous process that is necessary in the mining sector for mineral exploration. The homes in the resettlement colony all have holes in the walls from the blasting. As the men labour in the mines, the women and children live in constant terror of the explosions.
- Damage to forests in the past has left women without access to wild herbs that formerly treated a variety of illnesses for free. But when they were uprooted, they didn't have enough money to buy even the most basic over-the-counter medicines.
- Decline Prior to mass migration, women were commonplace in agricultural labour and animal caregiving roles within families, communities, and societies. so that she may have a voice in family decisions without feeling intimidated. But now that her income has dropped and been disturbed, she has to rely on her husband and son for all of her financial needs, which has diminished her status in the family.

In the wake of population shifts and land grabs, widespread poverty has wreaked havoc on formerly harmonious family relationships, leading to increased hostility and friction.

Conclusion

People's livelihoods and mental health are being negatively impacted by industrial development-induced relocation. There are many instances when people feel powerless and stifled. Despite the Central Government and the Government of Odisha having passed resettlement and rehabilitation legislation, the people's complaints are seldom addressed. It's true that they get money for their efforts. However, the prospect of a better living situation is at risk. In addition to the above, the National Commission on Women has seen an increase in reports of violence against women in rehabilitation facilities. Humanitarian assistance organisations and governments should take preventative measures to remedy these ills. Discontent with relocation is widespread, and for good reason. The solution is to improve the methods of migration and local incorporation.

- Even though Orissa's proposed relocation and rehabilitation plan is better than the national strategy, it doesn't help the affected people as much as it should.
- While the households that have been uprooted do get some financial compensation, the subject of socially relevant rehabilitation is sidestepped in the name of modernization.
- The gap between the affluent and the poor is growing as a result of the displacement process brought on by development.
- Those who are already at a disadvantage as a result of relocation and the lack of advantages from development initiatives will remain so under the current pattern of growth.
- While the rehabilitation strategy claims to help displaced people, in practise it leads to poverty, homelessness, unemployment, hunger, the loss of community resources, and higher rates of illness and death.

References

- Baxi, Upendra. "Development, Displacement, and Resettlement: A Human Right Perspective", Indian Social Development Report 2008 , CSD, Oxford University Press, New Delhi, 2008.
- Cernea Michael M. Involuntary Displacement and Resettlement in Development Projects, Bikash Publication, New Delhi, 1988, p.121.
- Cernea, M. M. "Public Policy responses to development induced population displacement", Economic and Political Weekly, 1996, Vol.XXI No.24.
- Fernandes, W. Development Induced Displacement in Tribal Areas,1994, pp.371-372.
- Fernandes, Walter and M. Asif . Development-Induced Displacement in Orissa, 1951 -1995: A Database On Its Extent and Nature. Indian Social Institute; New Delhi, 1997.
- Ghanshyam Shah, Social Movements in India: A Review of Literature, Sage Publication, New Delhi, 2009.
- Government of India. A Reference Annual Publication Division, New Delhi,1993, pp. 141-145.
- Hari Mohan Mathur, Displacement and Resettlement in India: The Human Cost of Development, London, Rutledge, 2013.
- Mahapatra, L.K. Tribal Development in India, Bikash Publication, New Delhi,1994, pp.71-72.
- Michael M.Cerne. Involuntary Resettlement in Development Projects. Policy Guidelines for Bank- financed Projects. World Bank Technical Paper vol. 80. World Bank: Washington, D.C.
- Medha Patkar, "The Peoples policy on Development, Displacement and Resettlement: Need to Link Displacement and Development", Economic and Political Weekly, Vol.33, No.38, 1998.
- Mathur, Hari Mohan. The Impoverishing Potential of Development Projects: Resettlement Requires Risk Analysis, Oxford University Press, New Delhi, Nov- Dec, 1999.
- Neera Chandhoke, "Peoples Movement and the Articulation of Rights", Indian Journal of Human Rights,2007, Vol.10 (1).
- Saxena, K.B. Abandoned; development and displacement, Delhi: Perspectives, December 2008.
- The people of Baud and Mayurbhanj are demanding for industrialization Also see Survey of Backward Districts of Orissa (New Delhi; National Council for Applied Economic Research, 1969), <http://www.boloji.com/index.cfm?md=Content&sd=Articles&ArticleID=432#sthash.SxvRqN9H.dpuf>